HOW TO INNOVATE USING IEC TR 62271-307 for M.V. switchgear..... How to explain to your buyers that this document could be used also for low voltage switchgear Author: Eng. Sergio Feitoza Costa, M. Sc https://www.cognitor.com.br/trainingweek2.pdf



.1) Concepts in IEC TR 62271-307 help to develop innovations.

- 2) How to use the tables & design parameters?
- 2.1) Temperature rise tests
- 2.2) Dielectric tests (electric fields)
- 2.3) Mechanical tests
- 2.4) Thermal and electrodynamic
- stresses during short circuit
- 2.5) Overpressures by internal arc
- 2.6) Interruption and breaking tests
- 3) Final comments

1. Concepts in IEC TR 62271-307 help to develop innovations.

There are some premisses that we ned to have in mind:

- a) To commercialize products, you need to have a test report issued by a 3rd part laboratory in hands. The logics in IEC standards is that equipment shall pass for severe type tests. It is unacceptable that IEC/IEEE standards, at no point, encourage or mention that using less copper, aluminium and insulators is beneficial for the Planet by minimizing the need for new raw materials and, therefore, mitigating climate change.
- b) There are few high-power testing laboratories in the World. You need them do to tests for breaking capacity, internal arc, short tome withstand currents (short circuit) and temperature rise tests
- c) High power tests cost EUR 3.000,00 to 10.000,00 per day. This is the main barrier for small/medium manufacturers to develop their promising ideas. Few of them has the strength to succeed. This is not a barrier to the big worldwide manufacturers because they tested their products decades ago and nowadays most of their efforts are to sell licenses to smaller manufacturers. They are in the comfort zone and rarely develop innovations below 230 kV.
- d) Nowadays it is relatively easy to develop products using testing simulations software. However, at the end, to get a test report, you will need to go to the lab. The risks of failure are small in this case.
- e) More than 10 years ago, series IEC 61439 introduced the useful concept of "design rules" which is basically the same of IEC 62271-307. Unfortunately, it was half-done and just for a few tests. The later revisions of the standard distorted the original text for much worse, making it more difficult to use. I explain the details in Ref [1,2].

I am of the coauthors of IEC62271-307 and helped to prepare that text. I worked 25 years in big high-power, high voltage and other testing laboratories. There I could witness the big efforts made Brazilian manufacturers to develop their from small LV fuses to big 800kV disconnectors. I saw several very promising equipment developments stop halfway because there was no money to pay for testing. In general, the possible strategy is to develop an initial prototype, pass the tests, start with small sales and then improve the details of the initial product to move up a level.

The document IEC TR 62271-307 began to be prepared in 2011 and was published in 2015. Its title is High-voltage Switchgear and Control gear – Part 307: Guidance for the extension of validity of type tests of AC metal and solid-insulation enclosed switchgear and control gear for rated voltages above 1 kV and up to and including 52 kV.

COGNITOR

Consultancy, R&D Training Ltd

I, Sergio Feitoza Costa, am coauthor and was a member of the IEC working group that prepared it along several international meetings. The other coauthors were renowned international experts from companies like ABB, Siemens, Schneider, Driescher, Laborelec, Cesi and Kema. I learned good things with those experts. This is a on a meeting held in Nashville (2013). Me and my wife are in the right side of the photo. The convener of the WG, Edgar Dullni is the first one on the right sitting. He did a great job.



This IEC Report (TR) focuses on medium/high voltage (MV/HV) switchgear of the IEC 62271-200 and 62271-201 standards and provides a method to avoid unnecessary repetition of costly tests. These opportunities can only be taken advantage of by manufacturers who have test reports on hand that transparently describe the details of the equipment that was actually tested. For reference, the information that must be included in a complete test report for this purpose is like in the References [3,4,5]. The more relevant information is presented in the tables of next pages. The manufacturer going to test the "original" equipment must be careful to alert the testing laboratory the information to include in the test reports.

To help understanding the concepts involved in replacing Table 1 – Design rules for LV switchgear laboratory tests with rules and/or calculations, it is worth reading Reference [6].

Just for curiosity in Table 1 I show the design rules which were in the first version of the low volage switchgear standards.

This is the concept that was amplified to all tests in IEC62271-307.

Using the tables of this TR to extend the validity of LV switchgear is very easy as the reader will see in the next tables.

	Rule (T = temperature F = force P = pressure)	т	F	Р
1	Short time current lower or equal to the tested one ?			
2	Bus bar cross section lower or equal to the tested one ?	×		
3	Distance between phases greater or equal to the tested one ?	x	x	
4	Bus bar supports of same type and distance between insulators of same phase lower or equal \ldots ?		x	
5	Materials, mounting equal?			x
6	Short circuit devices are equivalent / same type and manufacturer ?			
7	Length of live conductor lower or equal?			
8	Compartments were included in the original tests ?	x	x	x
9	Compartments are of the same type and dimensions higher or equal ?	x		x
10	Compartments have the same mechanical conception ?	x		x
" YES "NO	: to all items NO TEST and NO CALCULATION to some of the rules then additional verification by calculations			

This TR allows, if certain rules are met, a report of tests carried out on a certain panel representative of a family of panels, to be used as the basis for a study that demonstrates that if the tested one passed the tests, the non-tested one would also pass. The extension of the validity of test reports seeks to avoid unnecessary repetition of tests of IEC 62271-200 and 62271-201 standards. It can be used to extend type tests performed on one sample with a defined set of ratings for another switchgear of the same family with a different set of ratings or different arrangements.

The IEC TR62271-307 describes:

- The use, parameters and application of extension criteria and the use of calculations
- The information necessary to extend the validity (which must be included in the test reports)
- Specific aspects to extend the validity of, one by one, dielectric tests, temperature rise tests, mechanical tests, shortduration and crest withstand current tests, interruption and internal arc tests.
- The extension of validity of a test report to other functional units (situation a)
- Validation of a family by selecting test objects (situation b)
- Validation of a set of existing test reports (situation c)
- Validation of a project modification (situation d)
- Justification for extension criteria
- Examples of extending the validity of type tests such as:
 - o Design modification of a cable terminal in an air-insulated switchgear (AIS)
 - o Modification of the design of a functional unit by adding current transformers
 - o Modification of the design of a key-lock on the door of an AIS functional unit
 - o Extension of reports from a" ring main unit GIS" to functional units with greater width
 - o Extension of a family of gas insulated assemblies (GIS) to a functional unit

The section "Use of Extension Criteria" explains that due to the wide variety of functional unit types and possible component combinations, it is not practical to perform type tests with all possible switchgears. Therefore, the performance of a particular assembly can be evaluated against reports of type testing done on other assemblies in the same assembly family. For each type of test type, a non-exhaustive list of design parameters is shown, which must be analysed for the extension of validity. The analysis must be based on solid technical principles, physics, experience, and calculations.

The reason why IEC62271-307 can be used to develop innovations is easy to understand. You take the test reports of the "tested" equipment and, when developing the innovations, you do modifications which attend the tables rules. This is not difficult to do for an experiment designer. Doing this properly, your innovative design can be produced and commercialized, without doing laboratory tests, because attended the extension of the validity criteria.

2. How to use the tables of design parameters.

Each design parameter listed in Tables 2 to 6 must be compared between tested and untested designs under the criteria provided in the tables. A simple example to visualize is that in the temperature rise test (line 5 of table 2), if the current density of the conductors of the untested equipment is lower than that of the one tested, the criterion is accepted as it will heat up less. If any of the criteria is not clearly met, it is necessary to use other arguments such as calculations, explanations and testing simulations or even additional tests.

To fix the concept, for example, the temperature rise tests are easy simulate using validated testing simulations like the many examples in Ref. [3.4]. In the opposite direction, for dielectric tests, particularly the impulse test, performance cannot be assessed by simulations because any single head of bolt can create a completely different electric field situation. Then, in this case the correct procedure is to do an impulse laboratory test in the "non tested" product.

Calculations and simulations can only be applied in a comparative sense based on the design parameters and acceptance criteria. The tools must be previously validated and the simulation reproducible. Validation of software tools and calculation methods are outside the scope of the TR IEC.

2.1) CRITERIA FOR TEMPERTURE RISE TESTS

The IEC TR 60890 document provides calculation procedures that can be applied to compare fluid temperatures inside enclosures. The calculation is made based on the Watts dissipated, the area of the compartment walls, the number of horizontal partitions, and the area of the ventilation openings. Simulations are generally carried using tools like the SwitchgearDesign software [3,4,5].

ltem	Design parameter	Acceptance criterion	Condition	Criteria was attended?
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
1	Centre distance between phases	≥	Only to be validated for rated normal currents above 1 250 A (see IEC 62271-1:2007, 6.5.2)	
2	Phase to earth distance	≥	Only to validate if an influence on the surrounding elements due to currents cannot be excluded, e.g., eddy currents and magnetising currents.	
3	Enclosure/compartment dimensions (L,H,W) and volume	≥	The enclosure and compartments are of the same construction.	
4	Minimum pressure of insulating gas	≥	Same gas; for gas insulated switchgear	
5	Current density of conductors	≤	The conductors have the same physical arrangement	
6	Resistance per unit length of conductors	≤	Compare conductor material and cross-section	
7	Contact surface area of connections / joints	≥	Same or better contact material	

8	Contact force of connections / joints	≥	Same or better contact material	
9	Permissible temperature of contact materials of connections / joints	≥	Including metallic coatings having the same or lower resistivity	
10	Effective ventilation area of partitions and enclosure	≥	Note 3	
11	Power dissipation of components	\leq	Here the main switching devices, fuses and current transformers are considered.	
12	Area of insulating barriers	≤	Barriers have the same physical arrangement	
13	Thickness of insulating coating of conductors	≤	Thermal resistivity and emission coefficient of the coating should_be the same.	
14	Total coated surface area of enclosure for heat transfer	≥	The emission coefficient of the coating should be the same.	
15	Temperature class of insulating material in contact with conductors	2		

2.2) CRITERIA FOR DIELECTRIC TESTS (electric fields)

The dielectric performance of two switchgears may, if necessary, involve simulating and comparing the strength of electric fields using software tools.

Item	Design Parameter	Acceptance Criterion	Condition	Criteria was attended?
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
1	Clearance between phases	≥		
2	Clearance to earth	≥		
3	Creepage distance	≥		
4	Electrical properties of Insulating material	≥	A comparative result between two materials might be required (e.g. Comparative Tracking Index according to IEC 60112 [7])	
5	Surface roughness of live parts	≤		
6	Radius of conductive parts	≥	Not only the radius of live parts, but also the radius of all other conductive parts facing live parts (e.g., earthing devices, enclosure, LV wiring, supporting structures) shall be considered	
7	Open contact gap	≥	If influenced by the switchgear assembly	
8	Isolating distance	≥	If influenced by the switchgear assembly	
9	Minimum functional pressure for insulation	≥	Same fluid; for fluid insulated switchgear	

Table 3 – Extension criteria for dielectric withstand performance.

2.3) CRITERIA FOR MECHANICAL TESTS

The use of simulations and calculations to extend the validity of mechanical type tests is not recommended, mainly due to the difficulty or impossibility of validating their results.

Item	Part	Design parameter	Acceptance criterion	Condition	Criteria attended?
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
1	shutter systems	Strength of locked mechanical linkage, including shutter	2	The principal design of the shutter system is the same, but dimensions may be different.	
		mass of shutter	\leq	see note	
2	contacts of removable part	number of contact points	≤	The designs of contacts, including base and coating material, and	
		contact force per contact	\leq	supports of movable and fixed contacts are the same	
		roughness of contact surface	\leq		
3	interlocking-system directly operated on the mechanical chain	Strength of locked mechanical linkage	2	The principle design of the interlocking system is the same, but dimensions may be different.	
		torque applied during operation attempt	\leq	≤ Note	
4	interlocking- system preventing access to the operating devices	Strength of locked mechanical linkage	2	The principle design of the interlocking system is the same, but dimensions may be different.	
		normal operating force	≤		

Table 4 – Extension criteria for performance regarding mechanical supportability

2.4) CRITERIA FOR THERMAL AND ELECTRODYNAMIC STRESSES DURING SHORT CIRCUIT

Calculations include determining electromagnetic forces between conductors and the mechanical stress that can bend bars and cause damage to insulators. The calculation methods used in the SwitchgearDesign software in:

- IEC 61117, Method for assessing the short-circuit withstand strength of partially type-tested assemblies (PTTA)
- IEC 60865-1, Short-circuit currents Calculation of effects Part 1: Definitions and calculation methods.
- IEC TR 60865-2, Short-circuit currents Calculation of effects Part 2: Examples of calculation.

Table 5 – Extension criteria for performance regarding thermal and electrodynamic short-circuit stresses.

ltem	Design parameter	Acceptance criterion	Condition	Criteria attended?
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
1	Centre distance between phases	≥		
2	Electro-dynamic forces due to current path	\leq	The conductors have the same physical arrangement. Note 1	
3	Mechanical strength of insulating conductor supports	≥	Notes 2 and 3	
4	Length of unsupported sections of conductors	\leq		
5	Cross-section of conductors	2	Connections of the conductors are scaled and have the same or greater clamping force and contact area. Notes 4 and 5	
6	Material of conductors	same	Notes 4 and 5	

COGNITOR

Consultancy, R&D Training Ltd

7	Temperature class of insulating material in contact with conductors	≥		
8	Mechanical strength of the enclosure /partitions/ bushings	≥	Notes 2 and 3	
9	Contacts of removable part	same	Consider complete design of contact sub-assembly and the fixing / mounting of the removable part.	

NOTE 1 The effect of different paths can be assessed by calculation of electro-dynamic forces

NOTE 2 Strength includes mechanical resistance to compression, traction, and bending loads.

NOTE 3 The enclosure can provide the base for the mechanical supports.

NOTE 4 In case of earthing circuits: in some designs, conductors can include parts of the metallic enclosure being used as earthing circuit.

NOTE 5 Conductors include connections in the main circuit and in the earthing circuit up to the earthing terminal.

2.5) CRITERIA FOR OVERPRESSURE CAUSED BY INTERNAL ARC

Comparing the performance of electric panels in withstanding overpressure in the compartments is the analysis to be carried out. Overpressure is a function of arc currents, areas of depressurization openings and internal volumes. The evaluation of the force on the switchgear walls can be done for simple geometries using a calculation formula, otherwise using finite element analysis for mechanical stress.

The flow of hot gases expelled can be simulated by CFD programs and the burning of indicators can be simulated, but no one to date has validated this type of calculation. It would be very expensive to do as it would require a lot of testing.

The basis for the assessment are the values obtained for the overpressure's curves. These concepts are well detailed in the pages 177 to 191 of this book authored by Sergio <u>http://www.cognitor.com.br/Book_SE_SW_2013_ENG.pdf</u> The worldwide reference for this theme is the brochure **CIGRÈ** 602 / 2014 Tools for the Simulation of The Effects of the Internal Arc in T&D Switchgear. Sergio is coauthor of this Cigrè document and was member of CIGRE working group that prepared it. <u>https://www.e-cigre.org/publications/detail/602-tools-for-simulation-of-the-internal-arc-effects-in-hv-and-mv-switchgear.html</u>

ltem	Design parameter	Acceptance criterion	Condition	The criteria were attended?
1	Clearance between phases	\leq		
2	Clearance to earth	same	This concerns the region where the arc is initiated.	
3	Net compartment volume	≥		
4	Ratedpressureofinsulatinggas,ifapplicable; see Note 1	≤		
5	Cross-section of conductors	2	This concerns the region where the arc is initiated.	
6	Raw material of conductors (Al or Cu or their alloys)	same	This concerns the region where the arc is initiated.	
7	Location of the point of arc initiation	same	Applying the rules of IEC 62271-200 or IEC 62271-201	

Table 7 – Extension criteria for internal arc tests (equipment)

COGNITOR

Consultancy, R&D Training Ltd

8	Insulating material exposed to the arc	same		
9	Exhaust cross sectional area	2	The position of the exhaust in the compartment and the gas flow path are the same. Larger cross- sectional areas are only acceptable if an exhaust duct is used	
10	Exhaust opening pressure	\leq	Applicable to fluid tight compartments	
11	Mechanical strength of elements to let open the relief device (flap)	≤	Applicable to non-tight compartments. The relief device and its retaining elements have the same design.	
12	Mechanical strength of the enclosure and compartment	2	This also includes the strength of partitions and bushings Note 2	
13	Thickness of the enclosure walls	≥	Same material Note 2	
14	Mechanical strength of the doors and covers	≥	Note 2	
15	Degree of protection (IP- code) of enclosure	≥	Where relevant for indicator ignition criterion	

2.6) CRITERIA FOR INTERRUPTION AND BREAKING TESTS

Table 7 – Extension criteria for interruption and establishment of short-circuit currents.

ltem	Design parameter	Acceptance criterion	Condition	The criteria v attended?	were
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
1	Clearance between phases	≥	Note 1		
2	Clearance to earth	≥			
3	Enclosure/compartment volume	≥	Only to be validated, if the fluid (gas or liquid) in the volume is involved in the making and breaking process.		
4	Pressure of insulating gas	≥	The travel characteristic is within the permissible tolerances.		
5	Cross-section of conductors	\geq	Note 2		
6	Electro-dynamic forces due to the current in the connection paths to the switching device	\leq	Only to be validated, if there is an impact of the current path on the making and breaking performance		
7	Mechanical strength of insulating supports	2	Here, the supports of the phase conductors should be considered Note 3		
8	Mechanical strength of the enclosure/partitions/bushings	≥	Note 3		
9	Length of unsupported section of conductors	\leq	Note 3		

Consultancy, R&D Training Ltd	
NOTE 1 Extensions of type test validity with respect to the centre distance between phases inside the switching device should be dealt	
with in accordance with the relevant component standard.	
NOTE 2 The contacts of a removable part do not affect the making and breaking capacity of the associated switching device and	
therefore need not be considered.	
NOTE 3 It is assumed that the mechanical strength is already validated by a short time and peak withstand current test. Not applicable	
for capacitive or any other load switching currents.	

3. Final Comments.

Information about the switchgear that is required in type tests in accordance with IEC 62271 1 and IEC 62271-200 must be collected. Furthermore, the relevant parameters information is that shown in Tables 2 to 7 for each specific test and functional unit under evaluation. Applicable type test reports of the tested switchgear shall be provided for comparison of "tested" and "untested" assemblies. For this reason, it is recommended that the manufacturer inform clearly the testing laboratory that need that the relevant information on the design parameters listed in tables 2 to 7 be included in the test reports (even if the product standard does not request it). Relevant and detailed drawings are essential as part of this information. It is recommended to use testing laboratories that have technical resources to obtain essential information, e.g. measuring overpressures in internal arc tests.

END OF ARTICLE

About the author Eng. Sergio Feitoza Costa, M.Sc.

C.V: <u>https://www.cognitor.com.br/Curriculum.html</u>

Things I helped to do: <u>https://www.cognitor.com.br/HelpedToDo.pdf</u>

1. REFERENCES

[1] English version: IEC 61439-1 TEMPERATURE RISE LIMITS: Undefined values distort the low voltage switchgear market - Why it is necessary to rewrite IEC61439-1/2 ?

https://www.cognitor.com.br/rewriteIEC61439.pdf

[2] Versão Português "LIMITES DE ELEVAÇÃO DE TEMPERATURA DA IEC 61439-1: valores indefinidos distorcem o mercado de painéis de baixa tensão <u>http://www.cognitor.com.br/IEC61439Tabela6.pdf</u>

[3,4] Validation of software SwitchgearDesign - Simulation o Tests (Temperature Rise, Short Time Current, Electrodynamic forces, Internal Arc) - Report 071/2014 <u>https://www.cognitor.com.br/TR 071 ENG ValidationSwitchgear.pdf</u> and report 150/2024 <u>https://www.cognitor.com.br/TR 150 ENG ValidationSwitchgearDesignSWD.pdf</u>

[5] Validation of Magnetic & Electric Fields Mapping & Temperature Rise Tests Simulations (Report 074/2014) https://www.cognitor.com.br/TR074ENGValidationTempRise.pdf

[6] Free Book TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT (author Sergio Feitoza Costa) Portugues <u>https://www.cognitor.com.br/Book_SE_SW_2013_POR.pdf</u> English <u>https://www.cognitor.com.br/Book_SE_SW_2013_ENG.pdf</u>

[7] IEC62271-307 (2015) - High-voltage switchgear and controlgear - Part 307: Guidance for the extension of validity of type tests of AC metal and solid-insulation enclosed switchgear and controlgear for rated voltages above 1 kV and up to and including 52 kV.

[8] IEC TR 60943:1998 - Guidance concerning the permissible temperature rise for parts of electrical equipment, in particular for terminals. Issued by IEC Technical Committee TC 3

[9] CIGRÈ BROCHURE 602 (2014) Tools for Simulation of The Effects of the Internal Arc in T&D Switchgear,[10] CIGRÈ BROCHURE 740 (2018) Contemporary design of low-cost substations in developing countries.

[11] CIGRÈ BROCHURE 830 (2021) – "SIMULATIONS FOR TEMPERATURE RISE CALCULATION". (Sergio Feitoza Costa is co-author)

[12] ENVIRONMENTAL EFFICIENCY CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRICAL PRODUCTS (KG/MVA): TECHNICAL STANDARD & DEMO PROJECTS MANAGEMENT) <u>http://www.cognitor.com.br/demo1certificate.pdf</u>

[13] Other Technical Articles by Sergio Feitoza Costa: <u>https://www.cognitor.com.br/Downloads1.html</u>

[14] IEC/TR 60890, A method of temperature-rise verification of low-voltage switchgear and controlgear assemblies by calculation

[15] M. Kriegel, X. Zhu, H. Digard, S. Feitoza, M. Glinkowski, A. Grund, H.K. Kim, J. Lopez-Roldan,

P. Robin-Jouan, L. Van der Sluis, R.P.P. Smeets, T. Uchil, D. Yoshida, Simulations, and calculations as verification tools for design and performance of high-voltage equipment, CIGRE WG A3.20, CIGRE session Paris, paper A3.210, 2008

[16] IEC 61117, Method for assessing short-circuit withstand strength of partially type tested assemblies (PTTA)

[17] IEC 60865-1, Short-circuit currents – Calculation of effects – Part 1: Definitions and calculation methods.

[18] IEC TR 60865-2, Short-circuit currents – Calculation of effects – Part 2: Examples of calculation.

[19] CIGRE WG A3.24, 602 / 2014 Tools for the Simulation of The Effects of the Internal Arc in T&D Switchgear.

[20] IEC 60112, Method for the determination of the proof and the comparative tracking indices of solid insulating materials

[21] IEC 60071-1, Insulation co-ordination - Part 1: Definitions, principles, and rules